

**HIV Prevention Planning Council *and* HIV Health Services
Planning Council
Thursday, February 8th, 2007
4:30-7:30 pm
Milton Marks Conference Center
The State Building
455 Golden Gate Avenue (between Larkin & Polk Streets)
Lower Level, San Diego Rooms A, B & C
San Francisco**
MINUTES

Meeting called by Co-Chairs: Gayle Burns, Perry Rhodes III and Tracey Packer (HPPC)
Stephen Herman, Billie-Jean Kanios and Don Soto (HIV HSPC)

JOINT MEETING BEGINS

Roles, Mission & Vision of Councils Presentation

CM Soto, CARE Council Co-Chair and Tracy Packer, HIV Prevention Planning Council Co-Chair called the meeting to order at 4:48 p.m.

HIV HSPC CM Soto discussed the roles and responsibilities of the HIV Health Services CARE Council.

HPPC CM Packer discussed the mission, role with the Community Planning Cycle, goals and visions for the HIV Prevention Planning Council (HPPC).

Public Comment on this item

Michael Petrellis commented on two (2) issues –

- 1) Ryan White CARE Council – Housing crisis for people with AIDS (PWA'S) need to be more creative for subsidies for PWA's and substance abusers....2 many executives making more than 100k in salary, i.e. SF AIDS Foundation.

Prevention Council - Current prevention messages are cluttered and hostile. Stigma is being created.

Introductions

Everyone in attendance from both Councils introduced themselves.

Serosorting and Strategic Positioning: Presentation and Discussion

Jeff McConnell, Gladstone Institute informed Council members of the research about serosorting and strategic positioning.

The presentation, titled "HIV SeroAdaptation: Selecting Sexual Partners, Practices, and Positions in the Midst of an Epidemic" included:

- Time Trends in HIV Risk Behavior in San Francisco 1994-1999
- Could SeroAdaptation Help Reduce the Spread of New HIV Infections

- Design and Methods
- A New Way to Look at Sexual Risk
- Serosorting Reduces Risk in Sexual Partnerships
- Strategic Positioning Further Limits Risk
- Serosorting Increased Over Time: Positive Partners study of Seropositives
- Serosorting: A Learned Behavior in San Francisco MSM Individuals
- Feels that “SeroAdaptation” is a better term to use.
- More UAI (Unprotected Anal Intercourse)
- UAI with Disclosing is Decreasing
- More Strategic Positioning
- Less HIV
- Serosorting by Some HIV+ MSM Who practice UAI May Reduce New Infections by 98%
- Serosorting in a Random Digital Dial Study of 310 Sexually Active Seattle MSM, 2003
- Sexual mixing by HIV status among MSM: Seattle STD Clinic 2001-2005 (n=4265)*
 - * = unduplicated
- Risk of New Bacterial STD Among MSM Seen in the PHSKC STD Clinic based on Serosorting Behavior, 2001-2005
- Serosorting by HIV – MSM Not as Effective as NO UAI, But Better Than Nothing At All...
- Recommendations Regarding Serosorting
- What is the Risk of Superinfection? The is So Much We Don't Know
-
- Who Cares?
- Window Period of Superinfection Susceptibility
- High Incidence of SEDI In Recent Seroconverters
- No Evidence of Superinfection in Cohorts With Longer Term Infection
- 95% of Reported Apparent Superinfections Occurred During the First 3 Years of Infection
- If the Window of HIV Superinfection Closes..... *WHY?*
- Results: nAb Responses to Self and Strangers' Viruses Among Exposed Persons
- **Conclusions**
 - Neutralization responses are narrow and weak in persons with SEDI
 - Even in one person whose superinfection appeared during year 3
 - And in individuals with SEDI during viral suppression on ART
 - Neutralization responses are stronger and broad in persons without superinfection despite high exposure.
 - Including those infected < 2 years

- Strongest against partner viruses
- Neutralization of partner viruses may be a mechanism blocking systemic HIV superinfection in persons with well established infections, but this protection may wane during ART
- Neutralization responses may not protect against limited superinfection but may prevent it from becoming systemic.
 - Additional mechanisms may also block limited or localized superinfection from becoming systemic.

Discussion

Extent respondents responded to researchers with what they wanted to hear – they admitted to having unprotected sex with negatives. It was a self administered questionnaire.

Superinfection description – data (some consensus) appears early in infection. During 1st year or 2 it is easy to acquire another virus then window closes and difficult to find systemic superinfection.

Serosorting occurs with both genders but there are not a large number of heterosexuals in the study.

Public Comment on this item

Michael Petrellis – the serosorting practice was developed by positive people. Part of the message is serosorting may stop HIV infections by 98%. This solidifies the message that current hostile messages are doing more harm than good.

Next Steps

This topic will be further discussed and is part of the Points of Integration mission.

Public Comment on this item

There was no public comment.

Joint Council Meeting Adjourned

The meeting adjourned at 6:10 p.m.

I. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 6:32 p.m. by CM Soto.

II. Roll Call

The roll was called and quorum was established.

III. Review and Approval of the Agenda

The Agenda was reviewed and approved by consensus.

IV. Review and Approval of the January 22, 2007 Minutes

Amendments

- General Announcements “Client Advisory Panel” instead of “Citizen’s” and delete “Molnar” in Public Comments.

The Minutes were approved by consensus with changes noted.

V. General Announcements

- CM Brown is back in the hospital recovering from surgery.
- SF AIDS Foundation will pay CAEAR dues.
- CM Soto accepted to State Planning Group.

VI. Public Comment

There was no public comment.

VII. Marin County EMA (Eligible Metropolitan Area) Presentation

CM Spaeth presented the “Demographics & Trends of HIV/AIDS in Marin County.

**ACTION - VOTE to accept the Marin County EMA Report
Unanimous**

Public Comment on this item.

There was no public comment.

VIII. San Mateo EMA (Eligible Metropolitan Area) Presentation

Matt Geltmaker of San Mateo, presented a report on the San Mateo County AIDS Program Community Advisory Board (CAB). N = 530 clients.

This CAB presentation included:

- Structure (including Gender and Sexual Orientation)
 - Client Population
 - Board
- Challenges, Improvements and Current Work
- Current Client Population Trends

**ACTION - VOTE to accept the San Mateo EMA Report
Unanimous**

Public Comment on this item.

There was no public comment.

IX. Ryan White CARE Act Reauthorization and Implementation

CM Thomas updated the Council regarding review and discussion of latest developments regarding CARE Act reauthorization implementation. The latest developments are not official at this time.

Discussion points included:

- Implementation of current legislation and next reauthorization process strategy.

- Implementation of the new 75/25 rule is expected to become effective immediately with the new award.
- Formula awards 2/3rd will be March 1st then Supplemental later. 5 new areas were given additional time to complete supplemental applications.
- Minority AIDS Initiative (MAI) monies will now be separate and competitive. Every Emergency Metropolitan Area (EMA) must submit an application and the awards are anticipated to go out August 1st and will not be on same timeline as Title I awards. SF CARE Council and AIDS service providers must work with Grantee to bridge services to ensure services are not unfunded between March and award date.
- State Office of AIDS recently did a summit Title II funding is held to the same 75/25 and fortunately AIDS Drug Assistance Program (ADAP) has enough monies at this time for funding.
- There is also an emphasis on a grantee responsibility – carry forward dollars will be different and can only be brought forward if it is from formula awards and not supplemental. The carry forward can not be more than 2% than formula otherwise they will be ineligible for supplemental monies in the following year.

Recommendation that CARE Council still meet as scheduled on February 26th as scheduled to address allocation and latest Reauthorization developments.

ACTION - Report to CAEAR Coalition to be forwarded to CM.

ACTION - Planning Committee will discuss a proposal at the next Committee meeting and forward recommendations to the Steering Committee.

Public Comment on this item.

There was no public comment.

X. Council Membership Renewals

CM Hicks, Membership Committee Co-Chair presented the following renewals

- Dorothy Kleffner
 - **Action - Vote**
Unanimous
- Mark Molnar
 - **Action – Vote**
Unanimous

Public Comment on this item.

There was no public comment.

XI. New Business/Next Agenda

Please Note: The next full council meeting is scheduled for Monday, February 26th, 2007, Milton Marks Conference Center, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Lower Level, San Diego Rooms.

Public Comment on this item.

There was no public comment.

XII. Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 7:35 p.m.
